# Thermal Hydraulic Analysis of Neutron Cooling Systems

S. Yavuzkurt,<sup>1</sup> K. Ünlü,<sup>1,2</sup> H. Malaku,<sup>1</sup> C. Celik<sup>1,2</sup>

Service Provided: Neutron Beam Laboratory

**Sponsors:** U. S. Department of Energy Innovations in Nuclear Infrastructure and Education (INIE) grant, Pennsylvania State University Radiation Science and Engineering Center, Pennsylvania State University Department of Mechanical and Nuclear Engineering

## Introduction

Cold neutrons, which are low energy neutrons useful for investigating basic material and biological properties, can be obtained in several ways. Only two cold neutron beam facilities have been developed at U.S. university research reactors, namely at Cornell University and the University of Texas at Austin. Both facilities used a mesitylene moderator. The mesitylene moderator in the Cornell Cold Neutron Beam Facility (CNBF) [1], which is no longer available, was cooled by a helium cryorefrigerator via a copper cold finger to maintain the moderator below 30 K at full power reactor operation. The Texas Cold Neutron Source (TCNS) [2] uses a mesitylene moderator cooled via a thermosyphon containing neon. The operation of the TCNS is based on a helium cryorefrigerator, which liquefies neon gas in a 3 m-long thermosyphon. The thermosyphon cools and maintains the mesitylene moderator at about 30 K in a chamber. Neutrons streaming through the mesitylene chamber are moderated and thus reduced in energy to produce a cold neutron distribution.

The basic principle used in both systems is similar. However, the thermal-hydraulic mechanism of removing the heat from the moderator chamber that is near the reactor core to the cold head that is placed outside the biological shield of the reactor is different. The CNBF system uses a copper rod to transfer heat by conduction to the cold head. In the TCNS system, the basic mode of heat removal involves conduction through the wall, free convection and mainly pool boiling of cold neon inside the thermosyphon. In this study the mechanisms of heat removal are analyzed and evaluated both analytically and using computational fluid dynamics (CFD) and heat transfer codes, mainly by using the commercially available FLUENT code [5]. The advantages and disadvantages of each system will be determined. Using parametric studies, FLUENT is being used to design a new Neutron Cooling System (NCS) for university research reactors and, in particular, for the Pennsylvania State University reactor. Preliminary results of CFD simulations of the TCNS are presented here.

# **Current Study**

This study focuses on detailed thermal-hydraulic analysis of both the TCNS and the CNBF cooling systems, analytically and using standard commercial thermo-fluid dynamic code such as FLUENT6.1 [5]. At the current stage of this study, the transient cooling down process of the thermosyphon is being studied. By observing the earlier measured data [1] and using equilibrium models to derive neon temperature and mass flow rate, it has been concluded that the basic mechanism of the cooling down process consists of four dominant stages, as shown in Figure 1: 1. Transient startup, 2. Cooling down of the neon gas, 3. Cooling down of the moderator, 4. Condensation and pool boiling. The neon temperature and mass flow rate were derived using the ideal gas model from the measured pressure assumed data and thermodynamic equilibrium conditions.

The various models of heat transfer processes are being developed in order to incorporate them into the FLUENT solver. The computational domain is prepared using GAMBIT software, which is the default grid generation tool for FLUENT. Figure 2a and Figure 2b show the result of the temperature distribution at the inlet to the thermosyphon during the early stages of cooling down process. Sufficiently fine the computational grid sizes ranging from 60,000 to 120,000 cells, depending on the flow regime, were required to capture the high temperature gradient and for ease of convergence of the solution. However, this comes at the expense of calculation time for the transient system with a relatively large aspect ratio of the domain. In this case the computational domain is the 3.35 m long and 19 mm diameter thermosyphon tube connected to a 6.5 L neon reservoir. Efforts are being made to reduce the skewness factor of the grid as low as possible by using a hexagonal mesh wherever possible in the flow domain.

The computational results, together with the experimental data obtained previously, are expected to lead to a better design for the Penn State cold neutron source.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Mechanical and Nuclear Engineering, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Radiation Science and Engineering Center, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802



FIGURE 1: The thermal and flow properties of the TCNS at various stages of the cooling down process. The neon mass flow into the thermosyphon increases substantially at the beginning of the fourth stage when condensation starts

## Setup and Preparation of the CFD Simulation

The default preprocessor software for FLUENT, called GAMBIT, was used to prepare the computational domain of the TCNS and to generate the finite volume cells. The total number of cells of the flow domain was 6700. The mesh sizes were varied according to the anticipated flow structure, such that more cells were used wherever there are large velocity or temperature gradients. To take advantage of the flow symmetry and save computation time, the analysis was made by splitting the above flow domain in half along the length of the tube. Symmetry boundary conditions will then be applied along the cutting plane. In order to perform an accurate CFD simulation, all the boundary conditions at the various surfaces of the flow domain must be known. Due to the nature of the operational temperature range of the system, the cold head temperature can be affected by even a small exposed (un-insulated) thermocouple wire. The operation manual recommends that these wires should be

thermally shielded. The amount of heat removed by the cryorefrigeration system at the cold head during the cooling down stages of the TCNS is not known. However, the temperature at the cold head during cooling down stages was measured previously [1] and this was taken as a temperature boundary condition for the CFD simulation. The temperature data was curve fitted and a code written in the C programming language was written to interface with FLUENT as a UDF after compiling.

The geometry and material properties of the tube that connects the neon tank and the thermosyphon affect the heat transfer. In this revised simulation the length of the tube was increased to 5 m. The internal diameter of the tube is 3 mm. From the initial simulations it appears that the neon tube is a major heat load to the cooling system in the initial cooling period. The boundary condition at the surface of the neon tube was set to be room temperature of 300 K.



FIGURE 2: (a) Temperature distribution in the TCNS cold head, moderator, thermosyphon, and reservoir predicted using FLUENT code at the beginning of the cool down process. (b) Temperature distribution in the TCNS cold head predicted using FLUENT code at the beginning of the cool down process. The reservoir temperature remains constant

The reservoir was located outside the biological shield and hence the surface temperature should be assumed to be room temperature of 300 K. Since it is located inside the biological shield, the boundary condition for the thermosyphon wall was set to be an insulated wall.

The working fluid for the TCNS thermosyphon was neon gas. The initial condition of the gas is a room temperature of 300 K and pressurization to 10 atm. In this simulation, neon was considered as an ideal gas and hence the ideal gas model was selected for the variation of density in the simulation. In order to account for the continuous drop of absolute pressure in the system during cool down, the floating pressure option was activated. Previous simulations have shown that this was a major source of instability in the convergence of the simulation.

#### **Two-Phase Flow Analysis**

The condensation and boiling of the neon gas inside the thermosyphon should be modeled using the general two-phase modules of FLUENT. This is accomplished by using a two-phase flow UDF to simulate the condensation and boiling inside the thermosyphon. Condensation on the inside wall of the cold head starts when the neon gas inside the thermosyphon reaches at or below the saturation temperature at the prevailing saturation pressure. Since the pressure inside the thermosyphon continuously changes the saturation temperature also changes continuously. The UDF that should be incorporated should account for the continuously changing saturation condition. The saturation temperature vs. pressure of neon was incorporated into FLUENT by using a curve fit.

#### **Preliminary Results**

The simulation result shown in Figure 3 is the latest result of predictions of the absolute pressure in the neon reservoir. This simulation was carried out by taking the variation of physical and thermal properties of both aluminum and neon with temperature. The operating temperature and pressure range of the TCNS was about 28 K and 40 kPa respectively. At such a low temperature the thermal properties were found to be exteremely sensitive to temperature. These effects were included during the simulation. From the result it was possible to see that the absolute pressure in the neon reservoir was accurate up to the beginning of condensation. At this point the simulation beyond the condensation point is being carried out. The simulation result of the moderator temperature that is not shown here was not in a good agreement with the data which suggests that there needs to be improvement to the condensation modeling. However initial results shown in Figure 4 show how the condensed neon liquid accumulates at the moderator end of the thermosyphon.

#### **Conclusions**

Neutronic performance of both the CNBF and TCNS systems are known and published previously. However, thermal and thermal-hydraulic behavior of both systems have never been analyzed in detail. Currently both the TCNS and the CNBF cooling systems are being analyzed in order to design and build a third generation mesitylene based cold neutron source at Penn State. The Penn State cold neutron source will be designed with a superior cooling system and an optimized cold neutron beam output. A parallel research to this proposed study is ongoing for the size and shape optimization of Penn State cold moderator chamber. An equilibrium analysis of the experimental data gave four distinctive thermal regimes when plotted as a function of time. These are being used to make the thermal modeling easier. Results from the initial analysis of the TCNS cooling system using FLUENT are encouraging. Following the characterization of the



FIGURE 3: Comparison of simulation and experimental data for the absolute pressure in the thermosyphon during cool down



Figure 4: Condensation of neon inside the TCNS thermosyphon

## References

- 1. K. Ünlü, C. Rios-Martinez, B. W. Wehring, "The University of Texas Cold Neutron Source," Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A **353**, 397 (1994).
- D. D. Clark, C. G, Quellet, and J. S. Berg, "On the Design of Cold Neutron Source," Nucl. Sci. Eng. 110, 445 (1992).
- C. Rios-Martinez, K. Ünlü, Thomas L. Bauer and B. W. Wehring, "Performance of neon thermosiphon in the Texas cold neutron source," *Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc.*,71 692 (1994).
- C. Rios-Martinez, K. Ünlü, and B. W. Wehring, "Thermal-Hydraulic and Neutronic Performance of the Texas Cold Neutron Source," Sociedad Nuclear Mexicana, IV. Congreso Anual Memorias, 1, 148 (1993).
- 5. FLUENT-Computer Code, FLUENT Inc. Centerra Park Lebanon, New Hampshire 03766.